

31 October 2022

Health Infrastructure c/o Cameron McClement Associate Director, Health and Higher Education CBRE Project Management Level 21, 363 George Street Sydney, NSW 2000

Westmead Integrated Mental Health Complex – State Significant Development Application (SSD-44034342) - Biodiversity Development Assessment Report Waiver Request

Dear Cameron,

The purpose of this letter is to assess the need for a biodiversity assessment utilising the Biodiversity Assessment Method, for the proposed State Significant Development (SSD) of the Westmead Integrated Mental Health Complex – State Significant Development Application (SSD-44034342) (hereafter referred to as the 'Project') within parts of Lot 1 DP 1194390 which forms part of the larger Westmead Health Precinct.

Industry Specific Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) were issued for the Project on 6 June 2022. In relation to biodiversity, the SEARs state that biodiversity impacts associated with the development are to be assessed in accordance with the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* and the Biodiversity Assessment Method 2020, including the preparation of a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR), unless a waiver is granted, or the development is on biodiversity certified land.

This letter has been prepared to provide information for the Planning Agency Head and the Environment Agency Head to assist them in determining whether the Project is likely to have any significant impact on biodiversity values and whether a BDAR is required for the Project or if a BDAR waiver can be granted for the Project.

This letter includes the following:

- Appendix A: BDAR Waiver Request;
- Appendix B: Flora Species List;
- Appendix C: Threatened Species BioNet Atlas Results; and
- Figures.

Cumberland Ecology PO Box 2474 Carlingford Court 2118 NSW Australia Telephone (02) 9868 1933 ABN 14 106 144 647 Web: www.cumberlandecology.com.au



On the basis of our investigations, we believe that the preparation of a BDAR is not necessary, due to the low likelihood of impacts to biodiversity values and that the Project meets the criteria for a waiver to be granted.

If you have any queries regarding this assessment, please don't hesitate to contact me via email.

Yours sincerely,

Glangels Kebrik

Gitanjali Katrak Senior Project Manager/Ecologist gitanjali.katrak@cumberlandecology.com.au



APPENDIX A : BDAR Waiver Request

A.1. Introduction

Health Infrastructure is preparing an application for the proposed State Significant Development (SSD) of the Westmead Integrated Mental Health Complex, (State Significant Development Application - SSD-44034342) (hereafter referred to as the 'Project'). The Project is located within Lot 1 DP 1194390 which forms part of the larger Westmead Health Precinct, Westmead NSW.

The Project is seeking approval under Part 4 Division 4.7 of the NSW *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act). Industry Specific Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) were issued for the Project on 6 June 2022. In relation to biodiversity, the SEARs state that biodiversity impacts associated with the development are to be assessed in accordance with the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act) and the Biodiversity Assessment Method 2020 (BAM), including the preparation of a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR), unless a waiver is granted, or the development is on biodiversity certified land.

Cumberland Ecology has been commissioned by Health Infrastructure (the 'Applicant') to prepare this BDAR waiver request for the Project. The purpose of this document is to provide the information requirements as set out in Table 1 and Table 2 of *How to apply for a biodiversity development assessment report waiver* (DPIE, 2019).

A.1.1. Definition of Subject Land and Development Footprint

It is to be noted that any BDAR waiver application is required to be submitted before the SSD application. Although a location has been determined for the project, there is potential for minor adjustments to layouts or locations for ancillary infrastructure (such as sewer outlets) for the SSD prior to submission of the SSD application. Therefore, this BDAR waiver request takes a precautionary approach and has assessed additional buffer areas beyond those of the current layout plans for the Project (collectively referred to as the 'subject land') (see BDAR waiver subject land in **Figure 1**). All proposed works for the SSD application will be contained within these assessed boundaries of the subject land.

As outlined in the scoping report for the request for SEARs, a range of separate but related infrastructure improvement works are occurring across the Westmead Hospital under separate applications via Part 5 of the EP&A Act and as Development Without Consent under State *Environmental Planning Policy (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021.* The infrastructure improvement works are required for efficient sequencing of works across the Westmead Health Precinct and to ensure the ongoing operation of the Hospital and include:

- Demolition of buildings;
- Installation and augmentation of services;
- Tree removal;
- Realignment of internal roads;
- Internal building refurbishment; and
- Landscaping.

These works are subject to separate planning pathways that are yet to be determined. As some of these works, such as building demolition and associated tree removal, are located within the area subject to the SSD application and separate approval pathways for implementation of these works are not yet formalised, this BDAR waiver request has provided a holistic assessment of all areas within the proposed footprint of the SSD application and associated buffer zones. The areas assessed for the purposes of a BDAR waiver are shown as the 'BDAR waiver subject land' in **Figure 1**.

The delivery of the project, as per the SSD application, will require an additional accessway to an existing carpark. This additional accessway is subject to a separate planning pathway (such as a Review of Environmental Factors or REF) and does not lie within the footprint of the SSD application (see 'Additional P14 accessway in **Figure 1**). Nonetheless, as part of a holistic assessment approach, this additional accessway has been included within this BDAR waiver request for information purposes only. The location of this additional accessway, including a potential buffer for worksites and ancillary works is shown in all supporting figures to this letter report. As this area does not form part of the SSD application and therefore the extent of what the BDAR waiver, if granted, will apply to, it is indicated as a separate area within all supporting figures (referred to as 'Additional P14 accessway).

A.1.2. Assessment Requirements for State Significant Development

The project is classified as SSD under *State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP) (Planning Systems) 2021*, as the proposal seeks consent for development with a capital investment value of more than \$30 million for health and medical services, pursuant to Schedule 1, Section 14 of the SEPP.

Section 7.9 of the BC Act requires all development applications for SSD to be accompanied by a BDAR, unless both the Planning Agency Head and the Environment Agency Head determine that the proposed development is not likely to have any significant impact on biodiversity values.

A.1.3. Waiver of Requirement to Prepare a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report

Section 7.9 of the BC Act indicates that there are some circumstances in which the Planning Agency Head and the Environment Agency Head may determine that a proposed development is not likely to have a significant impact on biodiversity values and as such, a BDAR is not required to be prepared. Biodiversity values are defined under the BC Act and the *Biodiversity Conservation Regulation 2017* (BC Regulation), and include:

- Vegetation integrity being the degree to which the composition, structure and function of vegetation at a particular site and the surrounding landscape has been altered from a near natural state;
- Habitat suitability being the degree to which the habitat needs of threatened species are present at a particular site;
- Threatened species abundance being the occurrence and abundance of threatened species or threatened ecological communities, or their habitat, at a particular site;



- Vegetation abundance being the occurrence and abundance of vegetation at a particular site;
- Habitat connectivity being the degree to which a particular site connects different areas of habitat of threatened species to facilitate the movement of those species across their range;
- Threatened species movement being the degree to which a particular site contributes to the movement of threatened species to maintain their lifecycle;
- Flight path integrity being the degree to which the flight paths of protected animals over a particular site are free from interference; and
- Water sustainability being the degree to which water quality, water bodies and hydrological processes sustain threatened species and threatened ecological communities at a particular site.

For a waiver to be applied for future development at a site, it needs to be demonstrated that the above listed biodiversity values will not be significantly impacted.

BDAR waiver request information requirements for the Project are provided in **Table 1** below, as per Table 1 of *How to apply for a biodiversity development assessment report waiver* (DPIE, 2019).

Requirements	Responses		
Admin			
Proponent name and contact details	Claire Muir Senior Planning Advisor (Development and Commercial) Health Infrastructure Mobile: 0403 754 736 <u>Email:</u> <u>claire.muir@health.nsw.gov.au</u> Address: 1 Reserve Road, St Leonards, NSW 2065 Locked Bag 2030, St Leonards NSW 1590		
Project ID (Information to identify which SSD or SSI project the request relates to and where the project is up to in the assessment process)	Westmead Integrated Mental Health Complex, (SSD-44034342)		
Name and ecological qualifications of person completing TABLE 2	 Gitanjali Katrak Bachelor of Science (Honours) Biological Sciences. La Trobe Universit 2002 Doctor of Philosophy, Intertidal Wetlar Ecology. Flinders University, 2011 BAM Accredited Assessor Trainin Muddy Boots, 2017 (BAAS17064) BAM Assessor Reaccreditation Trainin GreenCap 2021 (BAAS17064) 		

Table 1 BDAR waiver request information requirements

Requirements	Responses
Site details	
Street address, Lot and DP, local government area	218 Redbank Road, Westmead NSW Lot 1 DP 1194390 City of Parramatta LGA
Description of existing development site, i.e. the area of land that is subject to the proposed development application. If any part of the land is considered 'Category 1- exempt land' information must be provided to demonstrate how the land meets the criteria3 that applies to Category 1 – Exempt Land.	The subject land is an urban property comprised of a number of existing hospital buildings and associated carparks that are currently in use with surrounding landscaped gardens.
	As the subject land comprises urban land it is not considered 'Category 1 - exempt land' under the <i>Local Land Services Act 2013</i> .
	Further details are provided in Section A.2.1.
Location map showing the development site in the context of surrounding areas and landscape features. Satellite image of site in context of adjoining sites.	See Figure 1 and Figure 2 It is to be noted that as the BDAR waiver application is required to be submitted before the SSD application this BDAR waiver request takes a precautionary approach and has assessed additional buffer areas beyond those of the current layout plans for the Project to allow for potential minor modifications and adjustments to the SSD application. The project layout and additional buffer areas assessed for this waiver application are referred to as the BDAR waiver subject land in the figures.
	The figures also show an indicative location of an additional accessway and associated work zone buffers to an existing carpark. This accessway does not form part of the SSD application but is subject to a separate planning pathway. Nonetheless, an assessment of this area has been included as part of a holistic assessment approach for the Project, especially as some works within the SSD application footprint, such as vegetation removal in specific areas or building demolition may be included and implemented as part of this separate planning pathway.
Site Map (to scale, ideally as a spatial shapefile)	See Figure 1

Responses
The proposed development involves the construction and operation of a new Integrated Mental Health Complex (IMHC) comprising a multi-level hospital facility. This will involve construction of the facility, a link bridge to connect it with existing buildings alterations to existing roads, bulk earthworks including cut and fill and tree removal building foundation works, signage installation, and landscaping. Demolition of the existing buildings and specific areas of vegetation in the subject land will also be undertaken via a separate town planning pathway. Further description is provided in Section A.2.2 .
See Figure 3. It is to be noted that as the BDAR waiver application is required to be submitted before the SSD application this BDAR waiver request takes a precautionary approach and has assessed additional buffer areas beyond those of the current layout plans for the Project to allow for potential minor modifications and adjustments to the SSD application. All proposed works for the SSD application will be contained within these assessed boundaries (referred to as the BDAR waiver subject land in Figures 1-2 & 4 – 6).
As the additional accessway does not form part of the SSD application, this is not

Complete TABLE 2 below on Biodiversity Values	See Table 3
For each biodiversity value, the proponent must either:	
• explain why the value is not relevant to the proposed development; or,	
 where a biodiversity value may be relevant, provide an explanation of how impacts have been avoided and identify the likelihood and extent of any remaining 	
impacts of the proposed development, including	

Requirements	Responses
impacts prescribed under clause 6.1 of the BC Regulation.	
A biodiversity value is not relevant to a proposed development if the value is not present on the development site AND there is no potential for direct or indirect impacts on the biodiversity value if it occurs off-site.	See Table 3
Where one or more biodiversity values may be relevant to the proposed development, TABLE 2 is to be completed by a suitably qualified person with tertiary qualifications in natural sciences including subjects that relate to the observation and description of terrestrial biodiversity and landforms, and at least three years of work experience in environmental assessment including field identification of plant and animal species and habitats The person does not need to be an accredited person under the BC Act.	See Table 3
Attach any additional information required where biodiversity values are relevant to the site. E.g. Vegetation Map (indicating plant community types), Ecology Reports, Water Quality data, BioNet Atlas, Directory of Important Wetlands (DIWA), migratory bird flyway information.	See Figures 1-6 and Appendix C

A.2. Background

A.2.1. Description of Site

The subject land consists of parts of Lot 1 DP 1194390, located at 218 Redbank Road, Westmead NSW, within the site of the existing Brain Injury Unit (BIU) and Casuarina Lodge accommodation building, and adjacent carparks, as seen in **Figure 1**. The subject land is generally bounded by Redbank Road to the east and southeast, Dragonfly Drive to the southwest and existing carparks to the north and west. Toongabbie Creek, which is part of the Parramatta River catchment, occurs to the further north-west of the subject land as shown in **Figure 2**. The existing hospital grounds include extensive built areas, with a number of multi storey buildings, carparks, gardens and other facilities.

A.2.2. Proposed Development

In May 2022, the NSW Government announced the investment of \$460 million into the development of a new Integrated Mental Health Complex at Westmead, that will transform the delivery of mental health services across Western Sydney and deliver improved care for patients in line with state and national mental health reforms. The Integrated Mental Health Complex will replace the existing mental health facilities at Cumberland Hospital.

The Integrated Mental Health Complex at Westmead is located within the Westmead Health Precinct, approximately 1.5km north-west of the Parramatta Central Business District (CBD), the primary metropolitan centre of Western Sydney. The Westmead Health Precinct is contained across land legally described as Lot 1



DP1194390 and Lot 4 DP 1077852. The current proposed development of the Integrated Mental Health Complex is fully contained within Lot 1 DP1194390.

The Westmead Health Precinct is one of the largest health, education, research and training precincts in Australia. Spanning approximately 75 hectares, the precinct comprises over 400,000sqm of health-related developments including four major hospitals, four world-leading medical research institutes, two university campuses and the largest research-intensive pathology service in NSW. Westmead Health Precinct sits within the broader Westmead Health and Innovation District, a cornerstone for investment, economic growth and job creation in Western Sydney, servicing one of the fastest growing populations in Australia.

Approval is being sought for the construction and operation of a new multi-storey Integrated Mental Health Complex at the Westmead Health Precinct comprising:

- New multi-level hospital facility to a height of approximately 10 storeys;
- New link bridge connecting to the existing central acute services building;
- Minor alterations to the existing road network within the hospital campus;
- Site preparation including bulk earth works, tree removal, cut and fill;
- Inground building services works and utility adjustments, including service diversions;
- Building foundation works;
- Wayfinding and signage; and
- Landscape works.

The proposed development will involve the demolition of the existing buildings - Brain Injury Unit (BIU), and Casuarina Lodge (via a separate town planning application) - and associated carparks and landscaped gardens to enable construction of the new facilities and proposed new landscaping. The current proposed layout for the Project is shown in **Figure 3**.

A.3. Methods

A.3.1. Database Analysis

Database searches were conducted to identify threatened species, populations, that occur within the locality using the NSW Environment and Heritage Group (EHG) BioNet Atlas database (EHG, 2022a). The BioNet Atlas search facility was used to generate records of threatened flora and fauna species and populations listed under the BC Act within the locality. The locality is defined as the area within a 5 km radius of the subject land. The number, age, and location of such records were considered to provide an indication of the species that could have the potential to occur on or around the subject land.

A.3.2. GIS Mapping

A desktop analysis was undertaken to identify the vegetation communities that were present on or nearby the subject land. This included broad scale mapping prepared for the Sydney Metropolitan area (OEH, 2016) for the subject land and surrounds. A review of historical imagery from 1943 obtained from SixMap (NSW Government Spatial Services, 2022) was undertaken to ascertain historical land uses and vegetation extent and identify changes over time (**Figure 4**). A vegetation map of the subject land was then produced based upon observations of vegetation during the field surveys.

A.3.3. Surveys

A botanist and ecologist surveyed the subject land and adjacent landscaped areas on 2 November 2021. The subject land was inspected by traversing all vegetated areas to verify existing vegetation mapping, with reference to Plant Community Types (PCTs) and potential threatened ecological communities (TECs) known to occur within the locality. An additional survey was undertaken on 2 August 2022, due to additional areas being added to the proposed Project boundaries. This survey had the same scope as described for the November 2021 survey and was also undertaken by a botanist and an ecologist.

The locations of the surveys are shown in Figure 5.

A.3.3.1. Random Meander Surveys

Due to the limited extent of landscaped/vegetated areas, plot based floristic surveys in accordance with the BAM were not feasible. Therefore, flora surveys involved detailed random meander surveys within the subject land, where occurring flora species were recorded. The random meander surveys also included targeted threatened species surveys for threatened flora species previously recorded within 5 km of the subject land (the 'locality'). Notes and photographs were taken documenting vegetation and habitat features throughout the subject land. All flora species for the subject land encountered during the surveys are included in **Appendix B**.

A.3.3.2. Fauna Habitat Assessment

Fauna habitat assessments were conducted within the subject land, during both surveys, which included consideration of important indicators of habitat condition and complexity, including the occurrence of microhabitats such as tree hollows, human-made structures and the nature and extent of the understorey, ground stratum and canopy of vegetation. Photographs obtained during the surveys are provided in subsequent sections.

A.3.3.3. Microchiropteran Bat Survey

A nocturnal threatened microchiropteran bat (microbat) survey was undertaken by two ecologists on 11 October and 13 October 2022 encompassing the buildings, particularly the Brain Injury Unit (BIU) and Casuarina Lodge which are proposed to be demolished. The surveys involved active monitoring of the frontage of the buildings which was continuously traversed during the survey period. The active monitoring was supported by visual inspection using a handheld torch to illuminate holes, crevices and cracks in which microbats may be roosting. Where present, other indicative signs, such as bat guano or other materials were also noted both within and in the vicinity of buildings. Note that due to access restrictions, some sections of the BIU building were inspected from outside the surrounding fencing.

Active monitoring involved walking along the frontage of the building with an Anabat Walkabout and an Anabat Swift during the dusk and early evening period until one hour into darkness. A Walkabout records microbat calls in real time on a sonograph and will prompt activity via a speaker. Where microbat activity was recorded, the individual(s) were spotlighted. Any activity sourced, or potentially sourced from an emergence point within the building was recorded. The Anabat Swift was utilised as a backup to record additional calls for analysis. All recorded bat calls were analysed by Heidi Kolkert of Impact Ecology.

A.3.4. Desktop Assessments

The additional accessway that is subject to a separate planning pathway was not subject to ground-truthing surveys by Cumberland Ecology. However, data on trees (species, condition, maturity) present within this area, as provided by the arboricultural consultant Tree Management Strategies, was reviewed in conjunction with aerial imagery, broad-scale vegetation mapping and the use of Google Street view to provide information on the biodiversity values and map the vegetation present within this area.

A.4. Key Findings

A.4.1. Vegetation of the Subject Land

The vegetation within the subject land has been significantly modified since the original vegetation was cleared prior to 1943 (NSW Government Spatial Services, 2022). Review of the historic aerial photograph shows that the entire subject land was devoid of native vegetation and appears to have been farmland prior to 1943 (**Figure 4**). The majority of the currently occurring vegetation occurs in garden beds and are part of a landscaped compound. The vegetation is all planted and occurs mainly as planted native trees or exotic/ornamental trees over an exotic understorey. Areas of exotic dominated grassland are maintained as lawn areas around buildings and carparks. Generally, the composition, structure and function of vegetation within the subject land and the surrounding landscape have been altered significantly. This is also the case with the additional accessway to the existing carpark (subject to a separate planning pathway).

Although the woody vegetation within both the subject land and additional accessway predominately forms a single mapping unit consistent with OEH (2016) map unit of 'Urban Exotic/Native vegetation', due to the presence of clusters of locally endemic natives, non-endemic natives and exotic vegetation, the vegetation has been divided into two broad vegetation communities; Planted Vegetation and Exotic Vegetation, as described below and shown in **Figure 5**.

A.4.1.1. Planted Vegetation

Planted native vegetation occurs throughout the garden beds present within the subject land, as shown in **Photograph 1** and **Photograph 2**, and has a total area of ~0.53 ha. The native canopy species present includes *Casuarina cunninghamiana* (River Oak), *Casuarina glauca* (Swamp Oak), *Corymbia maculata* (Spotted Gum), *Eucalyptus moluccana* (Grey Box), *Eucalyptus saligna* (Sydney Blue Gum), *Eucalyptus saligna x botryoides*, *Eucalyptus paniculata* subsp. *paniculata* (Grey Ironbark), *Eucalyptus acmenoides* (White Mahogany) and *Brachychiton acerifolius* (Illawarra Flame Tree). The exotic canopy species present include *Jacaranda mimosifolia*



(Jacaranda), *Corymbia citriodora* (Lemon-scented Gum) and *Platanus acerifolius* (London Plane Tree). Understorey species include *Lomandra longifolia* 'tanika', *Agapanthus praecox* (Agapanthus), *Pandorea Jasminoides*, *Acanthus mollis* (Bear's Breeches), *Camelia* sp. (camelia), *Acacia floribunda* (White sally wattle), *Sonchus asper* (Prickly Sowthistle) and *Sonchus oleraceus* (Common Sowthistle).

Canopy species within the additional accessway include *Casuarina cunninghamiana* (River Oak), *Corymbia maculata* (Spotted Gum), *Eucalyptus punctata* (Grey Gum) and *Corymbia eximia* (Yellow Bloodwood). The extent of Planted Natives within the additional accessway covers an area of ~0.08 ha

The mix of planted native vegetation within the subject land and additional accessway does not align with any naturally occurring Plant Community Type (PCT). The recorded native species also do not occur within an area that contains a mosaic of planted and remnant native vegetation which can be reasonably assigned to a PCT. As the native vegetation has been planted for functional and aesthetic purposes, comprising landscaping in carparks and gardens, rather than being planted for environmental rehabilitation or restoration purposes, the vegetation is considered comprise planted vegetation in accordance with the decision-making key in Appendix D of the BAM and has not been assigned to a PCT.

Some areas, largely in the additional buffer zones of the subject land comprise areas of planted exotic trees only (0.10ha).









Photograph 2 Planted vegetation within the subject land – comprising a mix of native and exotic trees

A.4.1.2. Exotic Vegetation

Exotic vegetation occurs largely as areas maintained as lawns throughout the subject land, as shown in **Photograph 3** and **Photograph 4**, and has a total area of ~0.36 ha. Similar mown/managed areas are present within the additional accessway, covering an area of ~ 0.06 ha

The exotic species present include *Stenotaphrum secundum* (Buffalo Grass), *Taraxacum officinale* (Dandelion), *Hypochaeris radicata* (Cat ear), *Lactuca serriola* (Prickly Lettuce), *Bides pilosa* (Black-jack) and *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (Shepherd's Purse). The native species present include *Cynodon dactylon* (Couch) and *Portulaca oleracea* (Common Purslane).



Photograph 3 Exotic Dominated Grassland within the subject land



Photograph 4 Exotic Vegetation adjacent to existing site offices within the subject land



A.4.1.3. Cleared Land

The reminder of the subject land is comprised of existing buildings and other hardstand areas such as roads and carparks covering a total area of 1.24 ha. An additional 0.06 ha of cleared land, comprising of an existing carpark, is present within the additional accessway.

A.4.2. Fauna Habitat

The primary habitat for native fauna within the subject land and additional accessway is the native and exotic vegetation. This vegetation may fall within the foraging range of a range of native fauna species, including threatened species. The foraging resources of the subject land would be expected to be utilised occasionally and opportunistically by birds, bats and arboreal mammals.

Nectivorous and frugivorous species may utilise the native and exotic vegetation within the subject land and additional accessway to feed on blooms and fruit, whilst insectivorous species such as microbats may forage for insects throughout the canopy layer. No hollow-bearing trees were observed within the subject land during the November 2021 inspection. However, a single hollow bearing tree (HBT), containing one large hollow was observed during the August 2022 inspection. While this HBT provides potential breeding habitat for hollow nesting and roosting species, no indications of nesting or roosting were observed during the inspection. This HBT is located in the precautionary 'buffer zone' assessed in this BDAR waiver request and will be retained. Nonetheless, it is recommended that appropriate tree protection measures are installed for all trees to be retained when works are being conducted. The location of the HBT is seen in **Figure 6**.

Although a site inspection of the additional accessway was not conducted by Cumberland Ecology, based on the data on tree size and maturity as well as photographs provided by the arboricultural consultant, the trees within this area are considered unlikely to be of a size/age to form hollows.

The existing BIU and Casuarina lodge comprise buildings with a sloping, tiled roofs and defined ceiling cavities that can potentially provide some roosting habitat for microbats. These species could potentially roost in the small crevices in some parts of the roofs of the buildings. However, as the buildings are currently in active use and are well maintained, they are unlikely to support a significant roosting colony. The lack of roosting colonies within the buildings was confirmed during targeted microbat surveys as detailed in the following section.



Photograph 5 Existing Casuarina Lodge Building with tiled roof



Photograph 6 Existing BIU building



A.4.3. Microchiropteran Bat Survey

Inspections of the buildings observed occasional small holes around the eaves of the BIU building and Casuarina Lodge which may form suitable entry points into roof cavities for microbats. However, detailed spotlighting inspections did not show any signs of activity or indications of fauna usage around these areas. Furthermore, the majority of these holes were observed along the Redbank Road frontage of the BIU building which also has several motion sensor lights which would reduce suitability for microbats.

Microbat activity on the Walkabout was dominated by multiple calls of Gould's Wattled Bat (*Chalinolobus gouldii*), mainly around treed vegetation to the north of Casuarina Lodge. Spotlighting surveys observed a single individual foraging over the vegetation on multiple occasions, potentially the same individual conducting multiple laps over a foraging path. Other calls recorded across the Walkabout and the Swift included occasional calls of the White-Striped Freetail Bat (*Austronomus australis*), Eastern Coastal Free-Tailed Bat (*Micronomus norfolkensis*), Eastern Bentwing Bat (*Miniopterus orianae oceanensis*), Yellow-Bellied Sheathtail Bat (*Saccolaimus flaviventris*) and Large Forest Bat (*Vespadelus darlingtoni*). However, no microbats were observed emerging from the buildings and activity of recorded microbats did not indicate the potential presence of a nearby roost on any night. Therefore, no roosting colonies are considered likely to occur within these buildings and the recordings are considered to comprise calls of foraging microbats that either utilise or fly though the area as part of a wider foraging range.

A.4.4. Threatened Communities and Species

A.4.4.1. Threatened Ecological Communities

As the vegetation identified as Urban Exotic/Native within the subject land and additional accessway is comprised of a combination of exotic and native species of planted origin situated within a highly artificial context, it is not considered to conform to any TECs listed under either the BC Act or the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act) known from the locality.

A.4.4.2. Threatened Flora

The database analysis determined that no existing records of threatened flora species are present within the subject land and additional accessway. No threatened flora species were recorded during the survey of the subject land.

Although threatened flora species are known to occur within the locality (see **Appendix C**), due to the highly developed and artificial nature of the subject land and additional accessway as well as the lack of threatened species found during surveys, it is considered unlikely that any threatened flora species would occur naturally within the subject land and additional accessway.

A.4.4.3. Threatened Fauna

A limited number of threatened fauna species are known to occur within the locality of the subject land (see **Appendix C**), although none have been recorded within the subject land or additional accessway. Threatened fauna that would be expected to utilise the foraging resources within the subject land and immediate surrounds (including the additional accessway) include highly mobile, aerial species such as the Grey-headed Flying-fox (*Pteropus poliocephalus*), the Powerful Owl (*Ninox strenua*) and microbats.



Although the Grey-headed Flying-fox may use the subject land or additional accessway occasionally, especially when eucalypts are in flower (EHG, 2022e), the extent of foraging resources on site is low. Similarly the lack of roosting/sheltering habitat for native fauna provides for limited foraging opportunities for predatory birds such as the Powerful Owl (EHG, 2022h).

Microbats are highly mobile species that access resources from a large area and are known to fly over disturbed areas while foraging. While these species may pass through the subject land or additional accessway as part of a larger foraging range, they are unlikely to be dependent on the habitat present in the subject land or additional accessway.

Microbats are also known to forage for insects in urban areas and would be expected to occasionally and opportunistically access the foraging resources within the subject land or additional accessway. Species known or anticipated to frequent the subject land or additional accessway include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Little Bent-winged Bat (Miniopterus australis);
- Large Bent-winged Bat (Miniopterus orianae oceanensis);
- Eastern Coastal Free-tailed Bat (Micronomus norfolkensis);
- Eastern False Pipistrelle (Falsistrellus tasmaniensis);
- Greater Broad-nosed (Scoteanax rueppellii); and
- Yellow-Bellied Sheathtail Bat (Saccolaimus flaviventris).

The subject land and additional accessway contain very limited suitable breeding or refuge habitat for these species as it lacks hollow-bearing trees considered suitable for roosting (EHG, 2022b, 2022c, 2022d). Although artificial structures with roof cavities for potential roosts are present, the buildings are well maintained and largely lack appropriate entry points/crevasses to access the roof cavities. Based on the results of targeted surveys of the buildings and limited extent of vegetation, the subject land is considered to have limited (vegetation) to no (buildings) potential to support roosting habitat for threatened microbat species (EHG, 2022b, 2022c, 2022d, 2022f, 2022g).

A.5. Impact Assessment

A.5.1. Impacts to Vegetation and Habitat

The subject land of this BDAR waiver request includes buffers beyond the existing planned footprint for the SSD application and therefore the extent of vegetation cleared will be reduced compared to that assessed. Nonetheless, as a precautionary measure to allow for relocation of ancillary infrastructure and work sites, for the purposes of this assessment the entire subject land is assumed to be impacted by the SSD application and, conservatively all vegetation within the subject land is assumed to be removed, as is shown in **Table 2** below and on **Figure 5**. However, the project also includes provisions for new landscaped areas which include retention of existing trees where feasible (including the recorded HBT) as well as re-planting of similar or improved garden beds and therefore will provide similar habitat values to those removed. The proposed building design also includes 'green courtyards' which will further supplement the proposed landscaped areas.

It should be noted that some works within the SSD application footprint such as demolition of existing buildings and removal of specific vegetation to enable demolition may be included and implemented under the separate planning pathways. However, as these separate planning pathways are yet to be determined and therefore currently have no formal status, these areas have been included in the assessments for this BDAR waiver request.

Although the additional accessway does not form part of the SSD application (i.e it is subject to a separate planning pathway) and does not lie within the proposed SSD footprint, information on this area has nonetheless been provided as part of a holistic approach and does not materially affect the areas impacted within the subject land.

Vegetation Community	Subject land (ha)	Additional accessway (ha)
Planted Native Vegetation	~0.53	~0.08
Planted Exotics	~0.10	0.00
Exotic grassland	~0.36	~0.05
Cleared areas	~1.24	~0.06
Total	~2.22	~0.19

Table 2 Vegetation and potential habitat to be removed within the subject land and additional accessway

A total of ~0.53 ha of planted native vegetation and ~0.46 ha of exotic vegetation will be removed/impacted as a result of the proposed development of the subject land. A further 0.08 ha of planted natives and 0.05 ha of exotic grassland is present within the additional accessway. None of the vegetation is considered to conform to any TEC's listed under the BC Act or EPBC Act.

This area of vegetation may comprise potential and marginal foraging habitat within the broad habitat ranges of highly mobile native fauna including threatened species such as the Grey-headed Flying-fox, microbats and the Powerful Owl. No breeding habitat for threatened species is expected to be removed, due to a lack of these habitat features. The single HBT recorded within the subject land is not considered suitable breeding habitat for the Powerful Owl due to the high degree of exposure, being a scattered tree within a landscaped garden.

Some threatened microbats are known to forage in urban areas, including, but not limited to, Bentwing-bats (*Miniopterus australis* and *Miniopterus schreibersii oceanensis*). These two species of bats are insectivorous cave roosting bats that often frequent buildings and infrastructure, sheltering in roofs, pipes and culverts, etc (EHG, 2022f, 2022g). While it is conceivable that these species could occupy nooks and crannies in the BIU or Casuarina Lodge buildings within the subject land, field surveys determined that no bats, including threatened species, are roosting within the buildings. However, these species and other threatened microbats may occasionally and opportunistically forage within the vegetation present.

Foraging habitat removed will be replaced via the proposed landscaping and green courtyards. The proposed landscaping will include planting of additional trees, comprising a mix of locally endemic species and garden ornamentals consistent with the existing gardens on the subject land.

Accordingly, the removal of vegetation and potential roosting habitat on the subject land is not considered likely to have a significant impact on threatened fauna species listed under the BC Act.

A.5.2. Biodiversity Values Assessment

The BC Act and the BC Regulation list a suite of biodiversity values that are relevant to assessments that must take place under the BC Act, as outlined in Table 2 of *How to apply for a biodiversity development assessment report waiver* (DPIE, 2019). To demonstrate that the project will not impact upon biodiversity, **Table 3** systematically comments upon the relevance of each value.

As the additional accessway does not form part of the SSD application (i.e it is subject to a separate planning pathway) and does not lie within the SSD application area (i.e it has been identified in this waiver as part of a holistic information approach only), this area has not been included in the BDAR waiver Biodiversity values assessment outlined in **Table 3** below.

Biodiversity Value	Assessment for the Project
BC Act – Part 1 Section 1.5 (2)	
(a) vegetation integrity – being the degree to which the composition, structure and function of vegetation at a particular site and the surrounding landscape has been altered from a near natural state.	Based on a review of historical aerial imagery from 1943 (NSW Government Spatial Services, 2022), trees were almost entirely absent from the subject land at that time. The vegetation across the subject land has been significantly altered from its original state and trees within the subject land are either exotic, non-endemic natives or planted local endemics within garden beds and in rows. No remnant trees occur, and all are considered to have been planted as part of landscaping, due to their presence in defined garden beds, or in a parkland/paved setting. With consideration of the above, the composition, structure and function of vegetation within the subject land and the surrounding landscape are considered to have been altered significantly from a natural state.
(b) habitat suitability – being the degree to which habitat needs of threatened species are present at a particular site.	The subject land has little potential to provide habitat for threatened species other than highly mobile, aerial species. Threatened species with the highest likelihood to utilise the subject land include the Grey-headed Flying-fox, the Powerful Owl and microbats. These highly mobile species may occasionally and opportunistically utilise the limited foraging resources of the subject land as part of a larger foraging range. While there is potential for microbats to roost within roof cavities of the BIU and Casuarina lodge buildings given structure of these roofs, given the maintenance of the buildings, they are unlikely to support any established colonies. Field surveys confirmed that no

Table 3 Biodiversity values assessment

Biodiversity Value	Assessment for the Project
	microbats are currently roosting within the roof cavities of either building.
(c) biodiversity values, or biodiversity-related values, prescribed by the regulations.	See below.
BC Regulation - Part 1 Clause 1.4	
(a) threatened species abundance - being the occurrence and abundance of threatened species or threatened ecological communities, or their habitat, at a particular site.	No TECs or threatened flora species were observed during the surveys. Records of threatened species were limited to occasional fly-through recordings of foraging microbats, including the Eastern Coastal Free-Tailed Bat (<i>Micronomus norfolkensis</i>), Eastern Bentwing Bat (<i>Miniopterus orianae oceanensis</i>) and Yellow-Bellied Sheathtail Bat (<i>Saccolaimus flaviventris</i>). Other potentially occurring threatened fauna species would be limited to highly mobile, aerial threatened species, such as other microbats, the Grey-headed Flying Fox or Powerful Owl, that would be expected to utilise the foraging resources of the subject land occasionally and opportunistically. While the structure of the roof cavities of the BIU building and Casuarina lodge have the potential to provide roosting habitats for microbats, including some threatened species, they are considered unlikely to support any roosting colonies given the regular use and maintenance of the buildings. Field surveys confirmed the absence of any roosting microbats within the roof cavities of these buildings.
(b) vegetation abundance - being the occurrence and abundance of vegetation at a particular site.	The subject land has been entirely cleared of its original vegetation and the currently occurring vegetation is comprised of plantings of exotic, non-endemic natives and planted local endemics. All trees to be removed comprise planted individuals. Furthermore, the subject land is located in a highly modified/urbanised area. It is anticipated that the project will result in the removal of approximately 0.99 ha of vegetation comprising 0.53 ha of planted native vegetation and 0.46 ha of exotic vegetation.

Biodiversity Value	Assessment for the Project
(c) habitat connectivity - being the degree to which a particular site connects different areas of habitat of threatened species to facilitate the movement of those species across their range.	The existing vegetation within the subject land may marginally contribute to habitat connectivity throughout the largely cleared and artificial landscape that dominates the locality. Trees within the subject land and its immediate surroundings may function as stepping stone habitat for highly mobile fauna, providing a degree of habitat connectivity between parks such as Toongabbie Creek riparian corridor, Parramatta Park and the Parramatta River riparian corridor.
	However, the future landscaping will result in replacement planting for the trees to be removed with existing trees being retained where feasible. Therefore, the connectivity value of the subject land will remain consistent with current conditions.
(d) threatened species movement - being the degree to which a particular site contributes to the movement of threatened species to maintain their lifecycle.	As considered above, the subject land does not contribute to the movement of threatened species other than highly mobile, aerial species that are capable of flying over developed areas to access widely separated areas of habitat. Impacts associated with the project would not be expected to have any impact on the lifecycle of such species.
(e) flight path integrity - being the degree to which the flight paths of protected animals over a particular site are free from interference.	The project will increase the building heights to some extent as proposed buildings comprise 10 levels, although existing buildings in immediate proximity already comprise multi-storey structures. Subsequently the project is not expected to impact upon free-flying animals (threatened or otherwise) by interfering with flight paths.
(f) water sustainability - being the degree to which water quality, water bodies and hydrological processes sustain threatened species and threatened ecological communities at a particular site.	No natural or artificial watercourse exists within the subject land. Toongabbie Creek occurs approximately 150 m to the north to north-west of the subject land. The proposed development is not located within the riparian corridor of Toongabbie Creek, and is not expected to impact on the ecological function of the watercourse, provided that adequate mitigation measures are implemented.
	Aside from the canopy trees which may use ground water, the majority of the vegetation within the subject land would rely on rain or artificial watering as part of the landscaped garden beds. The project is consequently not expected to have any impacts on water sustainability.

A.6. Conclusion and Recommendations

The Project is considered highly unlikely to have significant impacts upon defined biodiversity values as impacts are limited to highly modified areas. The Project is anticipated to impact approximately 0.53 ha of planted native vegetation that shows limited, if any, structural/compositional features of a naturally occurring PCT, and approximately 0.46 ha of exotic vegetation within the subject land, although these areas of impact will be reduced given that additional buffer areas have been included as a conservative measure.

This area of vegetation may comprise potential and marginal foraging habitat within the broad habitat ranges of highly mobile native fauna including threatened species such as the Grey-headed Flying-fox, microbats and the Powerful Owl.

While the structure of the roof cavities of the BIU building and Casuarina lodge have the potential to provide roosting habitats for microbats, including some threatened species, they are considered unlikely to support any established colonies given the regular use and maintenance of the building. A lack of roosting microbats within the buildings was confirmed during targeted surveys for microbats which only detected foraging activity, primarily around patches of treed vegetation.

Nonetheless, it is recommended that appropriate management measures are taken during building demolition (which is to be conducted under a separate planning pathway) to reduce risk of harm to any potentially roosting bats, if present. Dismantling the buildings gradually, including removal of roof tiles to expose the roof cavity to render them undesirable as roosting habitat for microbats, thereby encouraging them to re-locate, is considered a suitable option for the Project.

When assessing impacts to potentially occurring threatened species from the project, there is limited justification for considering impacts to threatened species with the detail required by a BDAR under the BAM. The project may result in a small reduction of marginal foraging habitat for highly mobile, aerial threatened species, however it is considered unlikely that a significant impact to threatened species would occur as a result of the proposed development.

As the BDAR waiver application is required to be submitted before the SSD application and due to the potential for further minor adjustments to layout/ancillary infrastructure for the SSD, this BDAR waiver request takes a precautionary approach and has assessed additional buffer areas beyond those of the provided layout plans. All proposed works for the SSD will be contained within the assessed boundaries referred to as the BDAR waiver subject land. On the basis of our investigations, we believe that the preparation of a BDAR is not necessary, due to the low likelihood of impacts to biodiversity values.

No significant impacts to threatened species or communities are considered likely from the proposed works within the additional accessway which is subject to a separate planning pathway.

A.7. References

DPIE. (2019). How to apply for a biodiversity development assessment report waiver for a Major Project Application. Sydney: Environment, Energy and Science.

EHG. (2022a). BioNet Atlas. Retrieved from http://www.bionet.nsw.gov.au/

 EHG.
 (2022b).
 Eastern
 Coastal
 Free-tailed
 Bat TBDC
 profile.
 Retrieved
 from

 https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/AtlasApp/UI_Modules/TSM_/ProfileEdit.aspx?pld=10544&pType=SpeciesCode&a=1

EHG.	(2022c).	Eastern	False Pip	oistrelle	- TBDC	profile.	Retrieved	from
	https://www.envir	onment.nsw.go	ov.au/AtlasApp/UI	Modules/TSM	/ProfileEdit.asp	x?pld=10331&pTy	pe=SpeciesCode8	<u>la=1</u>
EHG.	(2022d).	Greater	Broad-nose	d -	TBDC	profile.	Retrieved	from
	https://www.envir	onment.nsw.go	ov.au/AtlasApp/UI	Modules/TSM	/ProfileEdit.asp	x?pld=10748&pTy	pe=SpeciesCode8	<u>la=1</u>
EHG.	(2022e).	Grey-headed	Flying	Fox	- TBDC	profile.	Retrieved	from
	https://www.envir	onment.nsw.go	ov.au/AtlasApp/UI	Modules/TSM	/ProfileEdit.asp	x?pld=10697&pTy	pe=SpeciesCode8	<u>la=1</u>
EHG.	(2022f).	Large	Bent-winged	Bat	- TBDC	profile.	Retrieved	from
	https://www.envir	onment.nsw.go	ov.au/AtlasApp/UI	Modules/TSM	/ProfileEdit.asp	x?pld=10534&pTy	pe=SpeciesCode8	<u>la=1</u>
EHG.	(2022g).	Little	Bent-winged	Bat	- TBDC	profile.	Retrieved	from
	https://www.envir	onment.nsw.go	ov.au/AtlasApp/UI	Modules/TSM	/ProfileEdit.asp	x?pld=10533&pTy	pe=SpeciesCode8	<u>la=1</u>
EHG.	(2022h).	Powerful	Owl	-	TBDC	profile.	Retrieved	from
	https://www.envir	onment.nsw.go	ov.au/AtlasApp/UI	Modules/TSM	/ProfileEdit.asp	x?pld=10562&pTy	pe=SpeciesCode8	<u>la=1</u>

NSW Government Spatial Services. (2022). SixMaps - Sydney 1943 Imagery. Retrieved from <u>https://maps.six.nsw.gov.au/</u> OEH. (2016). *The Native Vegetation of the Sydney Metropolitan Area. VIS_ID 4489* (Vol. SydneyMetroArea_v3_2016_E_4489). Sydney: Office of Environment and Heritage.



APPENDIX B : Flora Species List

Table 4 Flora Species List

Family	Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
Acanthaceae	Acanthus mollis	Bear's Breeches	Exotic
Agavaceae	Agave americana	Century Plant	Exotic
Agavaceae	Yucca aloifolia	Spanish Bayonet	Exotic
Aizoaceae	Carpobrotus glaucescens	Pigface	Native (Planted)
Alliaceae	Agapanthus praecox subsp. orientalis		Exotic
Amaranthaceae	Amaranthus spp.	Amaranth	Native (Planted)
Amaranthaceae	Gomphrena celosioides	Gomphrena Weed	Exotic
Amaryllidaceae	Clivia miniata		Exotic
Anacardiaceae	Harpephyllum caffrum		Exotic
Anthericaceae	Chlorophytum comosum	Spider Plant	Exotic
Apiaceae	Cyclospermum leptophyllum	Slender Celery	Exotic
Araceae	Colocasia esculenta	Taro	Exotic
Araceae	Thaumatophyllum xanadu		Exotic
Arecaceae	Washingtonia filifera		Exotic
Asphodelaceae	Aloe vera		Exotic
Asteliaceae	Cordyline australis	Cabbage Tree	Exotic
Asteraceae	Arctotheca calendula	Capeweed	Exotic
Asteraceae	Bidens pilosa	Cobbler's Pegs	Exotic
Asteraceae	Cirsium vulgare	Spear Thistle	Exotic
Asteraceae	Conyza bonariensis	Flaxleaf Fleabane	Exotic
Asteraceae	Conyza sumatrensis	Tall fleabane	Exotic
Asteraceae	Cotula australis	Common Cotula	Native (Planted)
Asteraceae	Crassocephalum crepidioides	Thickhead	Exotic
Asteraceae	Erigeron karvinskianus	Bony-tip Fleabane	Exotic
Asteraceae	Gamochaeta americana	Purple Cudweed	Exotic
Asteraceae	Gamochaeta pensylvanica	Cudweed	Exotic
Asteraceae	Hypochaeris albiflora	White Flatweed	Exotic
Asteraceae	Hypochoeris radicata	Catsear	Exotic
Asteraceae	Lactuca serriola	Prickly Lettuce	Exotic
Asteraceae	Senecio madagascariensis	Fireweed	Exotic
Asteraceae	Soliva sessilis	Bindyi	Exotic

Family	Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
Asteraceae	Sonchus asper	Prickly Sowthistle	Exotic
Asteraceae	Sonchus oleraceus	Common Sowthistle	Exotic
Asteraceae	Taraxacum officinale	Dandelion	Exotic
Basellaceae	Anredera cordifolia	Madeira Vine	Exotic
Bignoniaceae	Jacaranda mimosifolia	Jacaranda	Exotic
Bignoniaceae	Pandorea jasminoides	Bower Vine	Native (Planted)
Brassicaceae	Camelina spp.		Exotic
Brassicaceae	Capsella bursa-pastoris	Shepherd's Purse	Exotic
Brassicaceae	Cardamine hirsuta	Common Bittercress	Exotic
Brassicaceae	Lepidium africanum	Common Peppercress	Exotic
Brassicaceae	Lepidium didymum	Lesser Swinecress	Exotic
Buxaceae	Buxus microphylla		Exotic
Cannaceae	Canna indica	Tous-les-mois Arrowroot	Exotic
Caryophyllaceae	Cerastium glomeratum	Mouse-ear Chickweed	Exotic
Caryophyllaceae	Paronychia brasiliana	Chilean Whitlow Wort, Brazilian Whitlow	Exotic
Caryophyllaceae	Polycarpon tetraphyllum	Four-leaved Allseed	Exotic
Caryophyllaceae	Stellaria media	Common Chickweed	Exotic
Casuarinaceae	Casuarina cunninghamiana	River Oak	Native (Planted)
Casuarinaceae	Casuarina glauca	Swamp Oak	Native (Planted)
Commelinaceae	Commelina cyanea	Native Wandering Jew	Native (Planted)
Convolvulaceae	Dichondra repens	Kidney Weed	Native (Planted)
Crassulaceae	Crassula multicava		Exotic
Crassulaceae	Crassula ovata	Jade Plant	Exotic
Cyatheaceae	Cyathea cooperi	Straw Treefern	Native (Planted)
Cyperaceae	Ficinia nodosa	Knobby Club-rush	Native (Planted)
Doryanthaceae	Doryanthes excelsa	Gymea Lily	Native (Planted)
Elaeocarpaceae	Elaeocarpus reticulatus	Blueberry Ash	Native (Planted)
Ericaceae	Rhododendron sp	Rhododendron	Exotic
Euphorbiaceae	Euphorbia chaacias	Mediterranean spurge	Exotic
Euphorbiaceae	Euphorbia peplus	Petty Spurge	Exotic
Fabaceae (Faboideae)	Hardenbergia violacea	False Sarsaparilla	Native (Planted)
Fabaceae (Faboideae)	Lotus uliginosus	Birds-foot Trefoil	Exotic

Family	Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
Fabaceae (Faboideae)	Medicago polymorpha	Burr Medic	Exotic
Fabaceae (Faboideae)	Trifolium repens	White Clover	Exotic
Fabaceae (Mimosoideae)	Acacia binervata	Two-veined Hickory	Native (Planted)
Fabaceae (Mimosoideae)	Acacia falciformis	Broad-leaved Hickory	Native (Planted)
Fabaceae (Mimosoideae)	Acacia floribunda	White Sally	Native (Planted)
Fabaceae (Mimosoideae)	Acacia longifolia var. longifolia	Sydney Golden Wattle	Native (Planted)
Geraniaceae	Pelargonium domesticum	Pelargonium	Exotic
Iridaceae	Dietes grandiflora		Exotic
Juncaceae	Juncus usitatus		Native (Planted)
Lamiaceae	Salvia spp.		Native (Planted)
Lamiaceae	Stachys arvensis	Stagger Weed	Exotic
Lamiaceae	Westringia fruticosa	Coastal Rosemary	Native (Planted)
Lomandraceae	Lomandra hystrix		Native (Planted)
Lomandraceae	Lomandra longifolia	Spiny-headed Mat-rush	Native (Planted)
Lomandraceae	Lomandra longifolia var. longifolia	Spiny-headed Mat-rush	Native (Planted)
Lythraceae	Lagerstroemia indica		Exotic
Malaceae	Photinia serratifolia	Chinese Photinia	Exotic
Malaceae	Rhaphiolepis indica	Indian Hawthorn	Exotic
Malvaceae	Brachychiton acerifolius	Illawarra Flame Tree	Native (Planted)
Malvaceae	Malva parviflora	Small-flowered Mallow	Exotic
Malvaceae	Modiola caroliniana	Red-flowered Mallow	Exotic
Meliaceae	Melia azedarach	White Cedar	Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Angophora costata	Sydney Red Gum	Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Callistemon citrinus	Crimson Bottlebrush	Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Callistemon viminalis	Weeping Bottlebrush	Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Corymbia citriodora	Lemon-scented Gum	Exotic
Myrtaceae	Corymbia maculata	Spotted Gum	Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus botryoides <> saligna		Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus camaldulensis	River Red Gum	Native (Planted)

Family	Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus grandis	Flooded Gum	Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus moluccana	Grey Box	Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus nicholii	Narrow-leaved Black Peppermint	Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus paniculata	Grey Ironbark	Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus resinifera	Red Mahogany	Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus saligna	Sydney Blue Gum	Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus tereticornis	Forest Red Gum	Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus tereticornis	Forest Red Gum	Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Melaleuca styphelioides	Prickly-leaved Tea Tree	Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Myrtus communis	Common Myrtle	Exotic
Myrtaceae	Syzygium australe	Brush Cherry	Native (Planted)
Myrtaceae	Waterhousea floribunda	Weeping Lilly Pilly	Native (Planted)
Nandinaceae	Nandina domestica	Japanese Sacred Bamboo	Exotic
Oleaceae	Fraxinus angustifolia	Desert Ash	Exotic
Orchidaceae	Epidendrum radicans	Crucifix Orchid	Exotic
Oxalidaceae	Oxalis corniculata	Creeping Oxalis	Exotic
Phormiaceae	Dianella caerulea	Blue Flax-lily	Native (Planted)
Phormiaceae	Dianella longifolia	Blueberry Lily	Native (Planted)
Plantaginaceae	Plantago lanceolata	Lamb's Tongues	Exotic
Plantaginaceae	Veronica arvensis	Wall Speedwell	Exotic
Plumbaginaceae	Plumbago auriculata	Cape leadwot	Exotic
Poaceae	Bromus catharticus	Praire Grass	Exotic
Poaceae	Cenchrus clandestinus	Kikuyu Grass	Exotic
Poaceae	Cynodon dactylon	Common Couch	Native (Planted)
Poaceae	Ehrharta erecta	Panic Veldtgrass	Exotic
Poaceae	Eragrostis curvula	African Lovegrass	Exotic
Poaceae	Lolium perenne	Perennial Ryegrass	Exotic
Poaceae	Paspalum dilatatum	Paspalum	Exotic
Poaceae	Poa annua	Winter Grass	Exotic
Poaceae	Stenotaphrum secundatum	Buffalo Grass	Exotic
Poaceae	Themeda triandra		Native (Planted)
Portulacaceae	Portulaca oleracea	Pigweed	Native (Planted)
Primulaceae	Lysimachia arvensis	Scarlet Pimpernel	Exotic
Rutaceae	Murraya paniculata		Exotic

Family	Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
Solanaceae	Datura stramonium	Common Thornapple	Exotic
Solanaceae	Solanum lycopersicum	Tomato	Exotic
Solanaceae	Solanum nigrum	Black-berry Nightshade	Exotic
Strelitziaceae	Strelitzia reginae		Exotic
Violaceae	Viola banksii		Native (Planted)
Zingiberaceae	Hedychium gardneranum	Ginger Lily	Exotic



APPENDIX C : Threatened Species BioNet Atlas Results

Family	Scientific Name	Common Name	Locality Count
Fauna			
Accipitridae	Haliaeetus leucogaster	White-bellied Sea-Eagle	2
Accipitridae	Hieraaetus morphnoides	Little Eagle	1
Apodidae	Apus pacificus	Fork-tailed Swift	1
Apodidae	Hirundapus caudacutus	White-throated Needletail	6
Ardeidae	Botaurus poiciloptilus	Australasian Bittern	1
Artamidae	Artamus cyanopterus cyanopterus	Dusky Woodswallow	1
Burhinidae	Burhinus grallarius	Bush Stone-curlew	1
Cacatuidae	Calyptorhynchus lathami	Glossy Black-Cockatoo	2
Camaenidae	Meridolum corneovirens	Cumberland Plain Land Snail	1
Camaenidae	Pommerhelix duralensis	Dural Land Snail	25
Charadriidae	Pluvialis squatarola	Grey Plover	1
Climacteridae	Climacteris picumnus victoriae	Brown Treecreeper (eastern subspecies)	1
Dasyuridae	Dasyurus maculatus	Spotted-tailed Quoll	1
Emballonuridae	Saccolaimus flaviventris	Yellow-bellied Sheathtail-bat	7
Hylidae	Litoria aurea	Green and Golden Bell Frog	3
Miniopteridae	Miniopterus australis	Little Bent-winged Bat	1
Miniopteridae	Miniopterus orianae oceanensis	Large Bent-winged Bat	18
Molossidae	Micronomus norfolkensis	Eastern Coastal Free-tailed Bat	8
Myobatrachidae	Pseudophryne australis	Red-crowned Toadlet	1
Neosittidae	Daphoenositta chrysoptera	Varied Sittella	4
Petroicidae	Petroica boodang	Scarlet Robin	1
Phascolarctidae	Phascolarctos cinereus	Koala	2
Pseudocheiridae	Petauroides volans	Greater Glider	1
Psittacidae	Glossopsitta pusilla	Little Lorikeet	9
Psittacidae	Lathamus discolor	Swift Parrot	6
Psittacidae	Polytelis swainsonii	Superb Parrot	1
Pteropodidae	Pteropus poliocephalus	Grey-headed Flying-fox	583
Strigidae	Ninox connivens	Barking Owl	5
Strigidae	Ninox strenua	Powerful Owl	200
Tytonidae	Tyto novaehollandiae	Masked Owl	3
Tytonidae	Tyto tenebricosa	Sooty Owl	1

Table 5 BioNet Atlas Search Results for the Locality of the Subject Land

Family	Scientific Name	Common Name	Locality Count
Vespertilionidae	Chalinolobus dwyeri	Large-eared Pied Bat	1
Vespertilionidae	Falsistrellus tasmaniensis	Eastern False Pipistrelle	6
Vespertilionidae	Myotis macropus	Southern Myotis	10
Vespertilionidae	Scoteanax rueppellii	Greater Broad-nosed Bat	5
Flora			
Fabaceae (Mimosoideae)	Acacia pubescens	Downy Wattle	4
Ericaceae	Epacris purpurascens var. purpurascens		55
Dilleniaceae	Hibbertia superans		43
Campanulaceae	Isotoma fluviatilis subsp. fluviatilis		1
Proteaceae	Macadamia integrifolia	Macadamia Nut	2
Thymelaeaceae	Pimelea curviflora var. curviflora		6
Rhamnaceae	Pomaderris prunifolia	P. prunifolia in the Parramatta, Auburn, Strathfield and Bankstown Local Government Areas	3
Orchidaceae	Pterostylis saxicola	Sydney Plains Greenhood	2
Myrtaceae	Rhodamnia rubescens	Scrub Turpentine	1
Myrtaceae	Syzygium paniculatum	Magenta Lilly Pilly	6



FIGURES



Figure 1. Site map

Legend



BDAR Waiver Subject Land

Additional P14 Accessway (subject to separate planning pathway)

Lot Boundary

Image Source: Image © NearMap 2022 Dated: 19/5/2022



50 m





Figure 2. Location of the subject land

Legend



BDAR Waiver Subject Land

Additional P14 Accessway (subject to separate planning pathway)

Lot Boundary

Image Source: Image © NearMap 2021 Dated: 17/10/2021







Figure 3. Site plan



Figure 4. Historic aerial imagery from 1943 showing the subject land

Legend



BDAR Waiver Subject Land

Additional P14 Accessway (subject to separate planning pathway)

Lot Boundary

Image Source: Image © SixMaps 2021 Dated: 1943







Figure 5. Survey locations

Legend



BDAR Waiver Subject Land

Additional P14 Accessway (subject to separate planning pathway)

Desktop Assessment Only

Lot Boundary

Nov 2021 Surveys

Aug 2022 Surveys

- Microbat Survey Tracks

Image Source: Image © NearMap 2022 Dated: 19/5/2022



50 m





Figure 6. Vegetation and fauna habitat of the subject land and study area

Legend



BDAR Waiver Subject Land

Additional P14 Accessway (subject to separate planning pathway)

Lot Boundary

Hollow Bearing Tree

Vegetation Community

 \bigcirc

Planted Exotics

Planted Natives

Exotic Grassland

Cleared Land

Image Source: Image © NearMap 2022 Dated: 19/5/2022



50 m

